



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

10. Trial (§ 252 (2)*)—Requested Instructions.—The refusal of instructions not based upon any evidence is not error.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Trial, Cent. Dig. § 597.* 7 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 718.]

11. Damages (§ 217*)—Railroad Fires—Evidence.—In action against railroad for fire loss, it was proper for the jury to consider damages for the destruction of buildings separately from damages to the freehold.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Damages, Cent. Dig. §§ 556-559.* 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 191; 15 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 406.]

12. Railroads (§ 482 (2)*)—Fires—Evidence—Sufficiency.—In such action, where the evidence justified the jury in finding that the fire was set out by defendant's engine, greater particularity of proof was not required.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Railroads, Cent. Dig. §§ 1731, 1732.* 6 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 135.]

Error to Circuit Court, Louisa County.

Action by J. G. May and others against the Chesapeake & Ohio Railway Company. Judgment for plaintiffs, and defendant brings error. Affirmed.

D. H. & Walter Leake and *Henry Taylor*, all of Richmond, for plaintiff in error.

Leake & Buford, of Richmond, *Gordon & Gordon*, of Louisa, *C. V. Meredith*, of Richmond, and *J. G. May*, of Louisa, for defendants in error.

LEWIS *v.* LEWIS.

June 14, 1917.

[92 S. E. 807.]

Divorce (§ 129 (1)*)—Evidence—Sufficiency—Adultery.—In husband's suit for divorce for adultery, plaintiff's evidence held insufficient to warrant relief.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Divorce, Cent. Dig. §§ 411, 413, 418.* 4 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 745.]

Appeal from Circuit Court of City of Norfolk.

Suit by John D. Lewis against Virginia White Lewis. From decree for defendant, plaintiff appeals. Affirmed.

Thos. W. Shelton, of Norfolk, for appellant.

W. L. Williams, of Norfolk, for appellee.

*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.